



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/456,558	12/08/1999	RENE BERTOCCHIO	1798-7337	6610

7590 01/17/2002

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL
BEVERIDGE, DEGRANDI, WEILACHER & YOUNG
INTELECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER


CINTINS, IVARS C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1724

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/456,558	Applicant(s) Bertocchio	
	Examiner Ivars Cintins	Group Art Unit 1724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ivars Cintins (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Patrick Delaney (#45338) (4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 18, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All (in general)

Identification of prior art discussed:
Lavin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,020,281)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

(1) The refrigerant environment of the Lavin et al system was discussed.

(2) The examiner pointed out that claim 7, as well as its dependent claims 8 and 16-19, would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of parent claim 1, and if further amended to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection contained in the previous Office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ivars Cintins
IVARS CINTINS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1724